#### CS 589 Fall 2020

#### **Maximum likelihood estimation**

# **Expectation maximization**

Instructor: Susan Liu TA: Huihui Liu

**Stevens Institute of Technology** 

# **Recap of Lecture 2**

- RSJ: no parameter
- BM25: Due to the formulation of two-Poisson, parameters are difficult to estimate, so use a parameter free version to replace it
- Language model based retrieval model
  - Leave-one-out
  - EM algorithm

#### **Maximum likelihood estimation**

• RSJ:

**PRP: rank documents by** p(rel = 1|q, d) $p(rel = 1|q, d) \propto p(d|rel = 1, q)p(rel = 1)$ 

$$\alpha_{i} = p(w_{i} = 1 | q, rel = 1) \qquad \beta_{i} = p(w_{i} = 0 | q, rel = 0) \\ = \frac{count(w_{i} = 1, rel = 1) + 0.5}{count(rel = 1) + 1} \qquad \beta_{i} = p(w_{i} = 0 | q, rel = 0) \\ = \frac{count(w_{i} = 0, rel = 0) + 0.5}{count(rel = 0) + 1}$$

• Language model

$$\hat{\mu} = argmax_{\mu} \sum_{w_i=1}^{V} \sum_{d} \log p(w_i | d; w_i \notin d)$$

# **Today's lecture**

- Maximum likelihood estimation
- Expectation maximization
  - Coin-topic problem
  - Using EM algorithm to remove stop words
- Mixture of topic models
  - Probabilistic latent semantic analysis
  - PLSA with partial labels

#### **Maximum likelihood estimation**

$$max_{\theta} \sum_{i} \log P(\mathbf{x}_{i}; \theta)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_i$ observationse.g., mice weights $P(\mathbf{x}_i; \theta)$ likelihood $e.g., \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2)$  $\theta$ parameters

If the optimal solution is within  $\theta$ 's space S:

$$\frac{\partial \sum_{i} \log P(\mathbf{x}_{i}; \theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0 \text{ at } \theta = \hat{\theta}_{ML}$$

# Expectation maximization algorithm

- How to estimate the optimal  $\theta$ ?
- Expectation maximization (EM) algorithm:
  - Relies on the concept of *complete data* space
  - Iterative and alternative between conditional expectation and maximization steps

*I(theta): Incomplete data space: observation, e.g., documents*  $p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$ 

I\_{cd}(theta): Complete data space: observation + latent variables, e.g., topic  $p(\mathbf{x}, z | \theta)$ 

#### **Expectation maximization algorithm**

• Estimating the incomplete probability using the complete space

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(\mathbf{x}|z; \theta) p(z = k) \qquad \text{discrete space}$$
$$p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \int_{z} p(\mathbf{x}|z; \theta) dp(z) \qquad \text{continuous space}$$

• EM algorithm: repeat n=1...N:

E step: $Q(\theta|\hat{\theta}^{(n)}) = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\theta}^{(n)}}[\log p(\mathbf{x}, z|\theta)]$ M step: $\hat{\theta}^{(n+1)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in S} Q(\theta|\hat{\theta}^{(n)})$ 

#### **Expectation maximization: convergence guarantee**

• **Theorem**: the likelihood of observation,  $\log p(\mathbf{x}; \theta^{(n)})$ , monotonously increases with *n* 

 $p(z, \mathbf{x}|\theta) = p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta)p(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ 

$$\log p(z, \mathbf{x}|\theta) = \log p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta) + \log p(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$$

 $l(\theta) = l_{cd}(\theta) - \log p(z|\mathbf{x};\theta)$ 

 $l(\theta^{(n+1)}) - l(\theta^{(n)}) = l_{cd}(\theta^{(n+1)}) - l_{cd}(\theta^{(n)}) + \log\left[p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta^{(n)})/p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta^{(n+1)})\right]$ 

#### **Expectation maximization: convergence guarantee**

• Take the expectation over  $p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta^{(n)})$  on both side

 $l(\theta^{(n+1)}) - l(\theta^{(n)}) = l_{cd}(\theta^{(n+1)}) - l_{cd}(\theta^{(n)}) + \log [p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta^{(n)})/p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta^{(n+1)})]$   $\Rightarrow l(\theta^{(n+1)}) - l(\theta^{(n)}) = \mathbb{E}_{p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta^{(n)}}[l_{cd}(\theta^{(n+1)})] - \mathbb{E}_{p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta^{(n)}}[l_{cd}(\theta^{(n)})] + D_{KL}(p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta^{(n+1)}||p(z|\mathbf{x}, \theta^{(n)}))$   $Q(\theta^{(n+1)}|\hat{\theta}^{(n)}) - Q(\theta^{(n)}|\hat{\theta}^{(n)})$ EM chooses  $\theta^{(n+1)}$  to maximize  $Q(\theta^{(n+1)}|\hat{\theta}^{(n)})$  KL divergence always nonneg

 $\Rightarrow l(\theta^{(n+1)}) \ge l(\theta^{(n)})$ 

# An example problem: Coin-topic problem

- Author H and author T are co-authoring a paper in the following way:
  - At each time, they toss a coin to write the next word. If it's "head", author H writes the next word, if it's "tail", author T writes the next word. The probability for "head" is  $\lambda$
  - The head author selects the next word by randomly sampling from p(w|H), so does the tail author
- **Problem**: estimating the parameters that maximizes the document likelihood

# Coin-topic problem: known p(v|T), unknown $\lambda$

• Maximum likelihood estimation:

$$\max_{\lambda} \sum_{i} \sum_{v=1}^{V} \log(\lambda p(w_i = v \mid H) + (1 - \lambda)p(w_i = v \mid T))$$

• Suppose both head and tail distributions are known, e.g.:

	the	computer	data	baseball	game	interesting
p(w T)	0.2	0.05	0.05	0.4	0.2	0.1
p(w H)	0.25	0.2	0.2	0.15	0.1	0.1

#### **Expectation maximization**

• We use p(Z|v) to represent the hidden variable, i.e., whether the topic for word v is head or tail topic

$$\log p(d \mid \lambda) = \sum_{i} \sum_{v=1}^{V} (p(Z = 0 \mid w_i = v) \log \lambda p(w_i = v \mid H) + (1 - p(Z = 0 \mid w_i = v)) \log(1 - \lambda) p(w_i = v \mid T))$$

• Take the derivative of  $\log p(d \mid \lambda)$  over lambda:

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{v=1}^{V} (p(Z=0 \mid w_{i}=v)\frac{1}{\lambda} + (1-p(Z=0 \mid w_{i}=v))\frac{1}{1-\lambda}) = 0$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \lambda^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{|d|} \sum_{v=1}^{V} count(d,v)p^{(n)}(Z=0 \mid v)$$
 (M step)

#### **Expectation maximization**

• We use p(Z|v) to represent the hidden variable, i.e., whether the topic for word v is head or tail topic

$$\log p(d \mid \lambda) = \sum_{i} \sum_{v=1}^{V} (p(Z = 0 \mid w_i = v) \log \lambda p(w_i = v \mid H) + (1 - p(Z = 0 \mid w_i = v)) \log(1 - \lambda) p(w_i = v \mid T))$$

• E step: the standard derivation is to apply Bayes theorem:

$$p^{(n+1)}(Z = 0 \mid v; d) \propto p(v \mid Z = 0)p(Z = 0) = p(v \mid T)\lambda^{(n)}$$
  
$$p^{(n+1)}(Z = 1 \mid v; d) \propto p(v \mid Z = 1)p(Z = 1) = p(v \mid H) (1 - \lambda^{(n)})$$

#### Coin-topic problem: unknown topic, known $\lambda$

- For the same coin topic problem, assume lambda is known whereas p(w|H) is unknown, estimate p(w|H)

$$\max_{i} \sum_{v=1}^{V} \sum_{v=1}^{V} \left( p\left(Z=0 \mid w_{i}=v\right) \log \lambda p\left(w_{i}=v \mid H\right) + \left(1-p\left(Z=0 \mid w_{i}=v\right)\right) \log(1-\lambda) p\left(w_{i}=v \mid T\right) \right) -\eta \left(\sum_{v} p(v \mid H) - 1\right)$$

• Take the derivative and set to 0, we can get (M step):

$$p(v \mid H) \propto \sum_{i} \sum_{v} 1 [w_i == v] p(Z = 0 \mid v)$$
  

$$\Rightarrow p^{(n+1)}(v \mid H) = \frac{\sum_{i} 1 [w_i = v] \cdot p^{(n)}(Z = 0 \mid v)}{\sum_{u} \sum_{i} 1 [w_i == u] \cdot p^{(n)}(Z = 0 \mid u)}$$

• E step follows the same posterior estimation as the previous slide

# Coin-topic problem: unknown topic, known $\lambda$

- For the same coin topic problem, assume lambda is known whereas p(w|H) is unknown, estimate  $\,p(w|H)\,$
- Application: removing background topic
  - Suppose p(w|H) is the main topic (computer game)
  - p(w|T) is the background topic: the: 0.3, a: 0.2, ...,
  - The mixture of head and tail topic is dominated by background words:
  - After stop words removal, the true topic p(w|T) is "revealed":

#### **Coin-topic problem: unknown topic and** $\lambda$

• Suppose both p(w|H) and lambda are unknown:

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{|d|} \sum_{v=1}^{V} count(d,v) p^{(n)}(Z=0 \mid v)$$
 (M step of unknown lambda)

$$p(v \mid H) \propto \sum_{i} \sum_{v} 1 [w_i == v] p(Z = 0 \mid v)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow p^{(n+1)}(v \mid H) = \frac{\sum_{i} 1 [w_i = v] \cdot p^{(n)}(Z = 0 \mid v)}{\sum_{u} \sum_{i} 1 [w_i == u] \cdot p^{(n)}(Z = 0 \mid u)}$$

#### (M step of unknown topic)

$$p^{(n+1)}(Z = 0 \mid v; d) \propto p(v \mid Z = 0)p(Z = 0) = p(v \mid T)\lambda^{(n)}$$
  

$$p^{(n+1)}(Z = 1 \mid v; d) \propto p(v \mid Z = 1)p(Z = 1) = p(v \mid H) (1 - \lambda^{(n)})$$
(E step)

# **Applications of Coin Topic Problem for Text Mining**

- Application Scenarios:
  - p(w|H) & p(w|T) are known; estimate λ
  - p(w|H) & λ are known; estimate p(w|T)
  - p(w|H) is known; estimate  $\lambda \& p(w|T)$
  - $\lambda$  is known; estimate p(w|H)& p(w|T)
  - Estimate  $\lambda$ , p(w|H), p(w|T)

how much percent of the document is about computer game?

30% of the doc is about computer game, what's the other topic about?

The doc is about computer game, is it also about some other topic, and if so to what extent?

30% of the doc is about one topic and 70% is about another, what are these two topics?

The doc is about two subtopics, find out what these two subtopics are and to what extent the doc covers each.

#### **Expectation maximization as hill climbing**



converge to local optimal

Slides from UIUC CS510

# EM algorithm in action

• Log likelihood increases:

Word	#	$p(w \theta_B)$	Iteration 1		Iteration 2		Iteration 3	
			$P(w \theta)$	p(z=0 w)	$P(w \theta)$	P(z=0 w)	$P(w \theta)$	P(z=0 w)
The	4	0.5	0.25	0.33	0.20	0.29	0.18	0.26
Paper	2	0.3	0.25	0.45	0.14	0.32	0.10	0.25
Text	4	0.1	0.25	0.71	0.44	0.81	0.50	0.93
Mining	2	0.1	0.25	0.71	0.22	0.69	0.22	0.69
Log-Likelihood		-16.96		-16.13		-16.02		

## **Topic models and analysis**

- Topic ≈ main idea discussed in text data
  - Theme/subject of a discussion or conversation
  - Different granularities (e.g., topic of a sentence, an article, etc.)
- Many applications require discovery of topics in text
  - What are Twitter users talking about today?
  - What are the current research topics in data mining? How are they different from those 5 years ago?
  - What do people like about the iPhone 6? What do they dislike?
  - What were the major topics debated in 2012 presidential election?

#### Slides from UIUC CS510

#### Lifecycle of topic and text data



#### Slides from UIUC CS510

# A generative process of documents

- Assume documents are generated by sampling words from k latent topics
- For each document d:
  - For each token position i
  - Choose a topic z ~ Multinomial(  $\theta_d$  )
  - Choose a term w ~ Multinomial(  $\phi_z$  )



Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis. Thomas Hoffman. 2001.

Phi T x V, V: vocabulary size ~50,000, T: #topics, T=20

**Review of PLSA** 

theta D x T, D: #documents: ~10,000, T: #topics, T=20



Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis. Thomas Hoffman. 2001.

## **Document as a Sample of Mixed Topics**



Blog article about "Hurricane Katrina"

[Criticism of government response to the hurricane primarily consisted of criticism of its response to the approach of the storm and its aftermath, specifically in the delayed response ] to the [flooding of New Orleans. ... 80% of the 1.3 million residents of the greater New Orleans metropolitan area evacuated ] ...[Over seventy countries pledged monetary donations or other assistance]. ...

proportion of topics

## **Probabilistic latent semantic analysis**

$$p(d_i = w | \Phi, \theta_d) = \sum_{z=1}^T \phi_{z,w} \theta_{d,z}$$
$$p(\mathcal{W} | \Phi, \Theta)$$
$$= \prod_{d=1}^D \prod_{d_i=1}^{N_d} \sum_{z=1}^T \phi_{z,w} \theta_{d,z}$$
$$= \prod_{d=1}^D \prod_{w=1}^V (\sum_{z=1}^T \phi_{z,w} \theta_{d,z})^{count(d,w)}$$
$$D \qquad T$$

$$\arg\max_{\Phi,\Theta}[\log p(\mathcal{W}|\Phi,\Theta) + \sum_{d=1}^{D}\lambda_d(1-\sum_{z=1}^{T}\theta_{(d,z)}) + \sum_{z=1}^{T}\sigma_k(1-\sum_{w=1}^{V}\phi_{z,w})]$$

25

#### **Probabilistic latent semantic analysis**

Use R<sub>(wdi,z)</sub> to represent which topic d\_i in document d comes from (repeated same tokens are from the same topic)

$$\mathcal{L} = \log p(\mathcal{W} \mid \mathbf{R}, \Phi, \Theta) = \sum_{d}^{D} \sum_{d_i}^{N_d} \sum_{z}^{T} R_{\left(w_{d_i}z\right)} \left(\log \phi_{\left(z, w_{d_i}\right)} + \log \theta_{\left(d, z\right)}\right) \cdot \mathbf{1} \left[z_{d, i} == z\right] + \left(\sum_{d=1}^{D} \lambda_d \left(1 - \sum_{z=1}^{T} \theta_{\left(d, z\right)}\right) + \sum_{z=1}^{T} \sigma_k \left(1 - \sum_{w=1}^{V} \phi_{z, w}\right)\right]$$

• M step: set the derivative of L to 0:

$$R_{(w_{d_i,z})} \propto \phi_{z,v} \theta_{d,z}$$

 $\theta_{d,z} \propto \sum_{\substack{v=1\\D}}^{\nu} R_{d,v,z} count(v,d)$  $\phi_{z,v} \propto \sum_{d=1}^{\nu} \mathbbm{1} [z_{d,v} == z] count(v,d)$ 

26

# Probabilistic latent semantic analysis: partially available labels

- Generalized topic modeling:
  - Each document can contain just one topic, e.g., short documents
  - That is, topic inference = topic classification
- If we already know the document tags for a part of the documents, does the partial labels help us make better predictions for the entire corpus? (Homework 3)
- Example: news tagging, StackOverflow question tagging

# Probabilistic latent semantic analysis: partially available labels

Trends for you · Change **#RAF100** The RAF celebrates 100th anniversary #TuesdayThoughts @NWMCblog is Tweeting about this #ThailandCaveRescue 237K Tweets Thai Navy Seal 120K Tweets All 12 All 12 boys and coach rescued from Thai cave #laniteB2B 1.850 Tweets #WildBoars 4.934 Tweets Science 159K Tweets George Clooney George Clooney injured in motorcycle accident in Italy

**#NationalPinaColadaDay** 1,870 Tweets



#### Homework 3

- Suppose each document has only 1 topic. We have two document set: S1 (100 documents) contains all the tagged documents; S2 (10,000 documents) contains all the untagged documents. Each tag is a topic, there are only 2 topics
- (Part 1): derive the EM algorithm using pLSA that maximizes the probability of the observed document, given the known topics from S1
- (Part 2): implement your EM algorithm, output the predicted topic for each document in S2

# **PLSA** applications

- Topic modeling approach can be used for
  - Interpreting content of corpora
  - Clustering documents, predicting topics
  - Time series/trend analysis

## Interpreting content of corpora [Mei et al. 07]

- How do users interpret a learned topic?
  - Human generated labels, but cannot scale up
- What makes a good label?
  - Semantically close (relevance)
  - Understandable phrases?
  - High coverage inside topic
  - Discriminative across topics



Slides from UIUC CS510

## **Relevance: the Zero-Order Score [Mei et al. 07]**

• Intuition: prefer phrases well covering top words



# Relevance: the First-Order Score [Mei et al. 07]

• Intuition: prefer phrases with similar context (distribution)



#### Topic labels [Mei et al. 07]

sampling 0.06		north 0.02	
estimation 0.04 approximate 0.04 histograms 0.03	selectivity estimation	case         0.01           trial         0.01           iran         0.01	iran contra 
selectivity 0.03		documents 0.01	
histogram 0.02 answers 0.02 accurate 0.02	the, of, a, and, to, data, $> 0.02$	walsh 0.009 reagan 0.009 charges 0.007	tree 0.09
	clustering 0.02		trees 0.08
clustering algorithm	time 0.01	r tree	spatial 0.08
clustering structure	clusters 0.01	b tree	b 0.05
	databases 0.01		r 0.04
	large 0.01		disk 0.02
large data, data quality, high data,	performance 0.01 quality 0.005	indexing methods	array 0.01 cache 0.01
		methous	

Slides from UIUC CS510

## Text mining for understanding time series



## **Iterative Causal Topic Modeling [Kim et al. 13]**



# **Measuring Causality (Correlation)**



#### Topics in NY Times Correlated with Stocks [Kim et al. 13]: June 2000 ~ Dec. 2011

AAMRQ (American Airlines)	AAPL (Apple)
russia russian putin europe european germany bush gore presidential police court judge <u>airlines airport air</u> <u>united trade terrorism</u> food foods cheese nets scott basketball tennis williams open awards gay boy moss minnesota chechnya	paid notice st russia russian europe olympic games olympics she her ms oil ford prices black fashion blacks computer technology software internet com web football giants jets japan japanese plane pics are biased toward each time series

# Major Topics in 2000 Presidential Election [Kim et al. 13]

Top Three Words in Significant Topics from NY Times

#### tax cut 1

screen pataki guiliani enthusiasm door symbolic oil energy prices news w top pres al vice love tucker presented partial <u>abortion</u> privatization court supreme <u>abortion</u> gun control nra Text: NY Times (May 2000 - Oct. 2000)

Time Series: Iowa Electronic Market http://tippie.uiowa.edu/iem/

Issues known to be important in the 2000 presidential election

# Summary

- Maximum likelihood estimation
- Expectation maximization
  - Coin-topic problem
  - Using EM algorithm to remove stop words
- Mixture of topic models
  - Probabilistic latent semantic analysis
  - PLSA with partial labels